Neutrino Group Seminar, 11.I.2010, Wrocław



OUTLINE

>> Dark matter

>> Status of experimental searches

- direct search
- indirect search

>> Search for dark matter with Super-Kamiokande

Dark Matter in the Universe



1933 r. - Fritz Zwicky, COMA cluster. Velocity of galaxies too high to form bound system (if total mass was related only to luminous part of the system)



1970,80s – rotation curves of galaxies; halo of unseen matter component (?)

CONCLUSIONS



Distance from center of galaxy -----

Spherical dark matter halo encompassing galaxy

- unseen matter component, manifests through gravitational interactions

- modification of gravity on large scales / MOND (MOdified Newtonian Dynamics)

11.I.2010, Wrocław

Bullet Cluster

direct empirical proof of existence of dark matter

>> Distribution of mass in colliding clusters of galaxies (1E 0657-56)

- Gravitational lensing total gravitational potential (Hubble Space Telescope, European Southern Observatory VLT, Magellan) / violet
- >> X-rays Chandra X-ray Observatory (NASA) / pink
- Typically, gas represents most of the mass of ordinary (baryonic) matter in clusters (2 times more than luminous matter). It interacts e-m and slows down during collision.
- Result: mass concentration related to luminous matter
- X-rays regions: only 10% of the mass of cluster pair





(*) D.Clowe et al. 2006 Ap. J. 648 L109

∧CDM model

ACDM – standard model of a Big Bang cosmology; based on recent observations: CMB, large scale structures, accelerating expansion of Universe

Cosmological parameters

>>	$\Omega_{ ext{tot}}$	$\Omega_{tot} = 1.02 \pm 0.02$
>>>	Ω_{m}	$Ω_m = 0.27 \pm 0.02$
>>	Ω_{b}	$\Omega_{\rm b} \sim 0.044 \pm 0.002$
>>	Ω_{Λ}	Ω_{Λ} = 0.73 ± 0.02
	Conclusions:	
	$\Omega_m^{>>} \Omega_b$ => Dark Matter	
	$\Omega_m < 1 \Rightarrow \text{Dark Energy}$	



P.Mijakowski

11.I.2010, Wrocław

Dark Matter - candidates

» Existing particles

- MACHO's (Massive Astronomical Compact Halo Objects), i.e. neutron stars, black holes, brown dwarfves ...
- neutrinos Hot Dark Matter (HDM) +

cosmic structure formation requires CDM

< 7% Galactic Halo mass (exp. EROS)

- > Predicted:
 - Axions
 - WIMPs (Weakly Interacting Massive Particles) Cold Dark Matter (CDM)
- **Exotic:**
 - WIMPzillas, LIMPs, Kaluza-Klein DM, monopoles, sterile neutrinos...

WIMP

Weakly Interacting Massive Particle Search for particles:

neutral
 long lived

 (with τ ~ age of Universe)

 massive (M_χ ~ 100 GeV)
 weakly scale couplings

 σ ≤ 10⁻²pb (10⁻³⁸ cm²)

neutralino couplings (example):



Jungman, Kamionkowski, Griest, Phys. Rep., 267, 195 (1996)

WIMPs naturally come with SUSY:

• neutralino χ (SUSY) - Lightest Supersymmetric Particle (LSP), stable (R-parity conservation in SUSY)

$$18 \text{ GeV} < M_{\chi} < ~10 \text{ TeV}$$

$$\text{LEP}$$

$$\text{Cosmology}$$

P.Mijakowski

Direct search for WIMPs (χ 's)

>> Direct detection experiments:

- production in accelerators (LHC)
- WIMP-nucleus elastic scattering



>> Terrestrial experiments (χ 's in Galactic Halo)





Annual modulation effect

event Rate ~ $\rho \cdot V \cdot \sigma$

halo model

- WIMP velocity distribution in Halo: *Maxwell-Bolzmann* with mean velocity with respect to Galactic Center $\langle V \rangle = 0$, dispersion $V_0 = 220$ km/s
- V_{solar system} ≈ 230 km/s -> depends on time of the year
- ρ WIMP density in halo (~ 0.3 GeV/c² · 1/cm³ @ Solar System position)







DAMA/LIBRA (~250kg Nal)

DArk Matter/Large sodium Iodide Bulk for RAre processes

- Gran Sasso in Italy (4000 m w.e.)
- DAMA/Nal in operation from 1996
- Nal(TI) scintillation crystals 25 x 9.7 kg ≈ 250 kg; signal detected by two PMTs
- No active electron/gamma bkg determination technique
- Energy > 2 keV
- >> Exposition 0.82 tonne-years



DAMA – annual signal modulation



Acos[ω (t-t₀)]: A = (0.0129±0.0016) counts per day/kg/keV, t₀ = (144 ± 8) day, T = (0.998 ± 0.003) year

@ 8.2 σ CL

Characteristics

cos(t) 1 year period (T= $2\pi/\omega$) phase (t₀) – summer/winter low energy signal only in one detector "What other physical effect could satisfy all these criteria?"

source: EPJ C56 (2008), 333, arXiv:0804.2741

>> model independent evidence
>> no signal modulation > 6 keV and in "multiple hits events"

CDMS (Cryogenic Dark Matter Search)

new results published 17 Dec. 2009

- CDMS II @ Soudan Lab (2004-2009) depth 713 m (2090 m w.e.)
- > 19 Ge (~4.75kg in total) & 11 Si (~1.1kg) particle detectors arranged in 5 towers
- Two independent signal detection methods: ionization and phonons
 - xy-position imaging
 - surface (z) event rejection from pulse shape and timing



T < 0.01 K



CDMS - results (Dec. 2009)



- Most backgrounds (e,γ) produce electron recoils
- WIMPs and neutrons produce in nuclear recoils
 "Ionization vield" depends on
- "Ionization yield" depends on particle type
- Particles that interact in the "surface dead layer" result in reduced ionization yield (can mimic WIMP signal) -> However could be rejected based on timing and pluse shape of the signal

(*) J.Cooley @ SLAC Dec/17/2009 (*) Z. Ahmed et al., arXiv.org:0912.3592



CDMS - results (Dec. 2009)

"Blind analysis" - estimate bkg, not look at the region where signal is expected... after opening the box: (*) J.Cooley @ SLAC Dec/17/2009 (*) Z. Ahmed et al., arXiv.org:0912.3592



"Our results cannot be interpreted as significant evidence for WIMP interactions. However, we cannot reject either event as signal." ()*



Direct detection – current experimental limits



Indirect search for WIMPs

Indirect search = search for annihilation products of χ's (self-antiparticle):

- gammas (HESS, MAGIC, EGRET, GLAST/FERMI)
- anti-matter: positrons, anti-deuteron, anti-proton (PAMELA, HEAT, BESS, ATIC, AMS-02 ...)
- neutrinos (Super-Kamiokande, Ice-Cube, ANTARES)

$$\begin{split} & q \overline{q} \Big(c \overline{c} , b \overline{b} , t \overline{t} , ... \Big) \\ & \chi \chi \rightarrow \qquad l \overline{l} \qquad \rightarrow \rightarrow \nu \ , \gamma, \overline{e} , \overline{p} , \overline{H}_2 , \\ & W^{\pm}, Z, H \end{split}$$

Positron/electron excess observed in primary cosmic rays by PAMELA & ATIC



- >> EGRET excess of gammas (not confirmed by preliminary FERMI data)
- » FERMI, HESS also observe excess of e⁺ + e⁻
- >> HEAT excess of e⁺
- The indirect experiments seem to see some effect above expected background:
 - nearby pulsar (?)
 - wrong bkg estimation (propagation) (?)
 - DM annihilation (?)
- DM signal would be difficult to concile with standard WIMP model:
 - requires "boost factors" ~ 50-1000
 - ... which could related to DM clumps in local halo (ρ) or different annihilation cross section (but then some excess should likely be observed in more experiments)
- await more data: PAMELA, FERMI (PLANCK and AMS in future)



P.Mijakowski

11.I.2010, Wrocław



Super-Kamiokande

Water Cerenkov detector in Kamioka, Japan

- in operation since 1996
- 50kton water, 22.5kton fiducial volume
- 12k inner PMTs /2k outer PMTs
- detect light; possible reconstruction of energy and direction of neutrinos
- \bullet SK investigates atmopsheric/cosmic, solar & accelerator ν
- detect SN1987 v's
- neutrino oscilation discovery (1998) 21



Water Cerenkov detector principle

Charged particles propagating in water with v > c in water emit e-m radiation





How neutrinos interact?

- » Charged Current $v + N \rightarrow \mu/\tau/e + p + ...$
- > Neutral Current $v + N -> v + n + \dots$
- Elastic Scattering $v + e^{-} > v + e^{-}$



Super-K phases



SK-I runs 1996-2001 accident in 2001

SK-II

runs 2002-2005 ~50% PMTs

SK-III

runs Sep/2006-Sep/2008 fully reconstructed added acrylic shells for PMTs

SK-IV from 6/Sep 2008

- new electronics/DAQ/online software
- ready for T2K beam



















© Paweł Przewłocki







Super-K data sample (event classification)

Fully contained

Partially contained



- total v energy information
- » E>30MeV
- » not good v direction reconstr. (for low energy)
- » e/μ identification



- > only partial energy deposited
- > E_{vis} > 300MeV



- > downward going
 muons are neglected
 (mainly BKG atm μ)
 > no ν energy information
 - » good v direction info

Super-K data sample

Depending on true neutrino energy different event categories (samples) are populated

Atmospheric neutrinos interaction rate:

FC = ~8.3 events/day

PC = ~0.7 events/day

UPMU = 1.5 events/day

expected number of atm. v events in each event category as a function of v energy


Dark Matter annihilation to neutrinos

... where they may come from?



Search for neutrinos from DM annihilation (approaches)



Directional flux

related to regions of increased DM density:

- core of Sun, Earth, Galaxy Center
- constrain SD/SI $\sigma_{\gamma n}$

Diffuse flux:

- flux averaged over large cosmic volumes (many galactic halos) or over Milky Way
- constrain DM self-annihilation cross section <σ·v>



Search for WIMPs in SuperK (directional flux)

EARTH

SUN

GC



(*) S.Desai et al., Phys.Rev. D70 (2004) 083523

- >> Search for excess of neutrinos in SK1 data (1679.6 live days)
- >> WIMP mass range 18GeV-10TeV -> neutrino energy: ~5 GeV 5 TeV
- >> Data sample: upward through-going muons
- >> Currently new analysis: more data, lower energy neutrinos also included (T.Tanaka)

SuperK – WIMP-induced neutrino flux limit from Sun

Limit: WIMP-induced upward muons (SUN)





(*) S.Desai et al., Phys.Rev. D70 (2004) 083523

SuperK – WIMP-induced neutrino flux limit from Galactic Center

Limit: WIMP-induced upward muons (GC)





(*) S.Desai et al., Phys.Rev. D70 (2004) 083523

SuperK limit for neutralino elastic cross section (spin independent)

Comparison with direct detection: assuming only spin-independent interactions in Earth/Sun & equilibrium between annihilation and capture rate

$$Max Ratio (M) = \frac{Direct Detection Rate (M, \sigma)}{Super-K limit (M)}$$

Currently: lowest limit in direct detection -> CDMS II: 3.8.10⁻⁴⁴ cm² for 70 GeV WIMP





(*) S.Desai et al., Phys.Rev. D70 (2004) 083523

11.I.2010, Wrocław

SuperK limit for neutrialino elastic cross section (spin dependent)

- Limit 100 times lower than from direct search experiments
- DAMA annual modulation due to axial vector couplings ruled out by this SK result





DATA listed top to bottom on plot CDMS Soudan 2004-2009 Ge SD-proton DAMA/LIBRA 2008 3sigma SDp, no ion channeling COUPP 2008 SD-proton KIMS 2007 - 3409 kg-days CsI SD-proton IceCube 2009 indirect SD-proton (assuming annihilation to b-bbar) SuperK indirect SD-proton IceCube 2009 indirect SD-proton (assuming annihilation to W⁺W<s

Diffuse search idea

Investigation is limited to "most optimistic" but model independent WIMP annihilation channel

$$\chi + \chi \rightarrow \nu + \overline{\nu}$$

neutrino energy = WIMP mass

signal is isotropic

- Relevant for DM diffuse annihilation and also for DM decay modes
- Due to distinctive energy spectra of WIMPinduced neutrinos coming from that "golden channel" it is possible to test data against characteristic distortions in energy and cos spectra
- >> Use method of min χ^2 to find best allowed WIMP contribution
- >> Derive conservative upper limit on WIMP total self-annihilation cross section <\sigma V>, lifetime τ_{DM}



(*) J.F.Beacom et al., Phys. Rev. D76, 123506 (2007)

DM self-annihilation cross section

- cross section averaged over the relative velocity distribution $<\sigma_a v>$



"freeze out" of the relic particle

Upper bound on DM total annihilation cross section

MOTIVATION

Existing limit based only on data available for general public (made by J.F Beacom et all.)

No dedicated anylysis from experiments



Consequence:

(*) J.F.Beacom et al., Phys. Rev. D76, 123506 (2007)

General upper limit on the total DM self annihilation cross section. Why?

Least detectable particles bounds total cross section most conservatively -> all other limits (derived from other ann. products, like γ 's) would be more stringent than that; limit on cross section derived that way cannot be overreached (with only SM final states)

SuperK dedicated analysis

Dedicated SK/Ice-Cube analysis could improve limit on total self-annihilation cross section by <u>1-2 orders</u> of magn.

What can be improved comparing to Beacom analysis?

» use angular feature of WIMP signal:

DM signal isotropic, atm. neutrino bkg is often peaked at horizon

> use precise energy information

» use also (v_e, \overline{v}_e) :

• same v_e, v_τ, v_μ ratio is assumed in





P.Mijakowski

11.I.2010, Wrocław

FIT idea

PROCEDURE OUTLINE:

>> Use (v_e , \overline{v}_e), (v_{μ} , \overline{v}_{μ})

FC: fully containedPC: partially containedUPMU: upward going muons

- Investigate energy (FC, PC) & cos0 (UPMU, FC, PC) distributions
- Simulate DM annihilation diffuse signal
- >> Test DM annihilation singal hypothesis in atmospheric neutrino data by minimazing χ^2 distributions / fit the best ATM MC model and WIMP contribution:



Hands on the results of diffuse search

- None or very low (less < 2o) WIMP contribution allowed over the entire energy range in SuperK data → No evidence for WIMP induced signal
- Could derive limit on DM-induced neutrino diffuse flux and total selfannihilation cross section <ov> (and DM decay lifetime) under a few DM galactic halo distribution models



Preliminary calculations show that this analysis can improve the existing world limit by 1-2 orders of magnitude



J.F.Beacom et al., Phys. Rev. D76, 123506 (2007)

SUMMARY

DARK MATTER – new interesting results to be confirmed or rejected soon by the next generation of direct and indirect experiments + LHC

- CDMS 2 events in the signal region (0.8 bkg expected)
- postrion/electron excess in primary cosmic rays (PAMELA/ATIC/FERMI)
- Super-Kamiokande search for neutrinos from DM annihilation DIRECTIONAL
 - No excess of neutrinos from core of the Sun/Earth/Galaxy
 - Limit on DM induced ${\bf v}$ flux; comparison with direct experiments (DAMA region ruled out)

DIFFUSE

- Preliminary checked over wide energy range that no statistically significant DM annihilation signal can be accomodated by SK data
- In this dedicated analysis we expect to improve the existing world neutrino limit on <σv> by 1-2 orders of magnitude (especially in low energy)

--> complementary approach in DM searches, verification of theoretical models

... DM still not discovered but we keep looking for it

P.Mijakowski

11.I.2010, Wrocław

Thank you for your attention





Dark Matter in the Universe

Universe – dominant mass contribution from unknown matter component. It manifests only through gravitational interactions with surrounding baryonic matter. Its presence determines evolution of Universe and can be derived from:

- Velocity distribution in galaxy clusters (F.Zwicky in 1933)
- » Galaxies rotation curves
- » Gravitational lensing
- » Cosmic Microwave Backround (CMB)
- Abundance of light elements in Universe, nucleosynthezis
- >> Evolution of large cosmic structures



11.I.2010, Wrocław

ACDM model

ΛCDM – cosmological model based on recent observations: CMB, large scale structures, accelerating expansion of Universe

Cosmological parameters

 $\Omega_{\rm tot}$ $\Omega_{tot} = 1.02 \pm 0.02$ "flat" Universe! cosmic microwave background (WMAP - 2003 r.) $\Omega_m = 0.27 \pm 0.02$ WMAP (2006 r.) $\Omega_m \sim 0.3$ gravitational interactions (i.e. rotation curves) $\Omega_{\rm b} \sim 0.040 \pm 0.005$ (astro-ph/0001318) Big Bang Nucleosynthezis (BBN) + abundance of ligh elements (H,D,He,Li) $\Omega_{\rm b} \sim 0.044 \pm 0.002$ $\rightarrow \Omega_{\text{lumni}} \Omega_{\text{lumni}} \sim 0.006$ WMAP (2006 r.) Luminescence of stars and interstellar medium

ACDM model

Cosmological parameters

>
$$\Omega_{\Lambda}$$
 $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73 \pm 0.02$
WMAP (2006 r.) + SN Ia

Conclusions:

 $\Omega_m >> \Omega_b => Dark Matter$ $\Omega_m < 1 => Dark Energy$



5.I.2010, Warszawa

Dark Matter candidate: WIMP

It seems that DM consists of some sort of particles which interacts via gravity and/or weak force. MOND (Modified Newtonian Dynamics) are rather excluded.

WIMP (Weakly Interacting Massive Particle)

one of very well motivated candidates for DM particle:

🔶 neutral

• long lived (with τ ~ age of Universe) • massive (M_{χ} ~ 100 GeV) • weakly scale couplings $\sigma \leq 10^{-2}$ pb (10^{-38} cm²)

neutralino couplings (example):



Jungman, Kamionkowski, Griest, Phys. Rep., 267, 195 (1996)

cosmology

WIMPs naturally come with SUSY:

• neutralino χ (SUSY) - Lightest Supersymmetric Particle (LSP), stable (R-parity conservation in SUSY)

18 GeV < M, <

P.Mijakowski

Energia odrzutu

>> Energia odrzutu zależy:

- masy χ oraz masy jądra tarczy
- Energii kinetycznej WIMP-ów Tχ (model halo)

model halo

- prędkość WIMP-ów w halo: rozkład Maxwella-Bolzmanna ze średnią prędkością względem centrum Galaktyki = 0
- $V_{ukladu slon}$. \approx 230 km/s (względem halo) -> określa śred. T χ
- ρ gęstość WIMP-ów w halo galaktycznym (~ 0.3 GeV/c² · 1/cm³)

>> Np. (rozpraszanie w fali S): Ar (Z=40) $M\chi = 50 \text{ GeV/c}^2 < T_{odrzutu} > = 14 \text{ keV}$ $M\chi = 100 \text{ GeV/c}^2 < T_{odrzutu} > = 24 \text{ keV}$



Częstość zdarzeń

Liczba rejestrowanych przypadków (Rate):

 $R \sim \rho \cdot V \cdot \sigma$

ho - gęstość WIMP-ów w halo galaktycznym (~ 0.3 GeV/c² ·1/cm³)

σ- elastyczny przekrój czynny zależny od materiału tarczy - rodzaju sprzężenia WIMP-nukleon (spinu), czynnika postaci F(q²) … ≤10⁻³⁸ cm²

Strumień WIMP-ów (
$$\phi_{\chi}$$
): $\phi_{\chi} = \frac{\rho_x}{M_{\chi}} \cdot V_{\chi}$

Przy założeniach: $\rho_{\chi} = 0.3 \text{ GeV}/(c^2 \cdot \text{cm}^3) M_{\chi} = 100 \text{ GeV}/c^2 v_{\chi} = 230 \text{ km/s}$

 6×1

$$\phi_{\chi}\approx 7\times 10^4 {\rm cm}^{-2}{\rm s}^{-1}$$

(por. np. strumień neutrin p-p ze Słońca:

$$0^{10} {
m cm}^{-2} {
m s}^{-1}$$
 , gdzie $\sigma_{\nu N} \sim 10^{-44} {
m cm}^2$

P.Mijakowski

Efekt modulacji sezonowej

V – średnia prędkość cząstki WIMP względem nukleonu (tarczy) – ZALEŻY OD PORY ROKU!





Sumaryczna prędkość Ziemi i Słońca względem centrum Galaktyki:

Maksimum - 2 czerwiec - $V \approx 248$ km/h

Minimum - 2 grudzień - $V \approx 219$ km/h

P.Mijakowski

MOND

$$ec{F} = m \cdot \mu \left(rac{a}{a_0}
ight) \ ec{a}$$

μ(x)=1 for x>>1 μ(x)=x for x<<1 a₀ ~ 10⁻⁸ cm/s² Propozycja M.Milgroma - 1981r.

Direct detection – current experimental limits



a Payload for Antimatter Matter Exploration and Light-nuclei Astrophysics

- » PAMELA is mounted on satellite Resurs-DK1, inside a pressurized container
- » launched June 2006
- >> minimum lifetime 3 years
- >> data transmitted via Very high-speed Radio Link (VRL)

scientific objectives:

- » Search for dark matter annihilation (e⁺ and p-bar spectra)
- **»** Search for anti-He (primordial antimatter)
- Study composition and spectra of cosmic rays (including light nuclei)
- » Study solar physics and solar modulation
- » Study terrestrial magnetosphere and radiation belts



350 km

/610 km

P.Mijakowski

23.I.2009, Warszawa

PAMELA nominal capabilities

Antiprotons
Positrons
Electrons
Protons
Electrons+positrons
Light Nuclei
Anti-Nuclei search
80 MeV ÷ 190 Ge
50 MeV ÷ 270 Ge
up to 400 GeV
up to 700 GeV
up to 700 GeV/n
sensitivity of 3x10

energy rangeparticles in 3 years80 MeV \div 190 GeV $O(10^4)$ 50 MeV \div 270 GeV $O(10^5)$ up to 400 GeV $O(10^6)$ up to 700 GeV $O(10^8)$ up to 2 TeV(from calorimeter)up to 200 GeV/nHe/Be/C: $O(10^{7/4}/^5)$ sensitivity of $3x10^{-8}$ in anti-He/He

Simultaneous measurement of many cosmic-ray species

New energy range

(e.g. contemporary antiproton & positron maximum energy ~ 40 GeV)

Unprecedented statistics

e.g. 1 HEAT flight ~ 25 days of PAMELA data 1 CAPRICE98 flight ~ 5 days PAMELA data

S.Riccariani GDR-SUSY 2008

PAMELA detector principle

Time-of-flight: trigger, albedo

rejection, mass

determination (up to I GeV)

Bending in

spectrometer:

sign of charge

Ionisation energy

loss (dE/dx): magnitude of

Interaction

calorimeter:

proton-like, electron energy

electron-like or

pattern in

charge





• SI, S2, S3; double layers, x-y

- plastic scintillator (8 mm)
- ToF resolution ~300 ps (SI-3 ToF >3 ns)
- lepton-hadron separation < I GeV/c
- S1.S2.S3 (low rate) / S2.S3 (high rate)

Sign of charge, rigidity, dE/dx

- Permanent magnet, 0.43 T
- 21.5 cm²sr

Trigger, ToF, dE/dx

- 6 planes double-sided silicon strip detectors (300 µm)
- 3 µm resolution in bending view ⇒ MDR
- ~ 1000 GV (6 plane) ~600 GV (5 plane)

Electron energy, dE/dx, lepton-hadron separation

- 44 'Si-x / W / Si-y' planes (380 µm)
- 16.3 X₀ / 0.6 λ_L
- dE/E ~5.5 % (10 300 GeV)
- Self trigger > 300 GeV / 600 cm²sr

Lepton-hadron separation

- 36 ³He counters
- ³He(n,p)T; E_p = 780 keV
- I cm thick poly + Cd moderator
- 200 µs collection time



- Baloon born experiment for C.R measurement
- Operated from McMurdo, Antarctica
- > ATIC-1 15 days (2000/2001)
- > ATIC-2 17 days (2002/2003)
- flights @ 36km







ATIC Instrument Summary



- Measure charge, energy and number
- Ionization Calorimetry only practical method to measure high energy light elements
- Silicon Matrix (Si) has 4,480 pixels to measure GCR charge in presence of shower backscatter
- Graphite Target to interact the primary particle and generate fragments that, in turn, will start an electromagnetic cascade. Also provides some backscatter shielding
- Plastic scintillator hodoscopes (S1, S2, S3), embedded in Carbon target, provides event trigger plus charge & trajectory information
- Fully active calorimeter includes 400 Bismuth Germinate (BGO) crystals to foster and measure the nuclear electromagnetic cascade showers

Flight and Recovery



Flight path for ATIC-1 (2000) and ATIC-2 (2002)





The good ATIC-1 landing on 1/13/01 (left) and the not so good landing of ATIC-2 on 1/18/03 (right)



ATIC is designed to be disassembled in the field and recovered with Twin Otters. Two recovery flights are necessary to return all the ATIC components. Pictures show 1st recovery flight of ATIC-1



DM annihilation to gammas

Advantages

- insensitive to magnetic fields (source information)
- not attenuated over galactic scales
 energy spectrum
- produced in the most of WIMP annihilation modes, π⁰ decays (abundant ann. product)

Uncertainities:

- >> Astrophysical background rate
 - distribution around Galactic Center



DM annihilation to gammas - EGRET

>> EGRET excess in diffuse galactic gamma ray flux



DM annihilation to gammas - EGRET

Objections to EGRET interpretation



- DM density concentrated to the galactic plane. This is not what one expects from CDM!
- Excess in anti-protons data NOT observed (correlation: fragmentation of quark jets)

Instrumental problem with EGRET?
 Too simple conventional model for galactic gamma-ray emission?

P.Mijakowski

DM annihilation to positrons (HEAT)

(*) D. Hooper., Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. (2008), Vol. 58



>> for $\langle \sigma_A v \rangle = 3 \times 10^{-26} cm^3 / s$, $\rho_{\chi} = 0.3 GeV / cm^3$ to normalize the HEAT data

ann. rate should be boosted ~50

Consequence: DM clumps in local halo (but expected only ~5-10); different cross section (then should be observed by others)

DM annihilation to anti-matter

Charged anti-particles (positrons, anti-protons, antideuterons) -> diffuse spectrum at Earth

> positrons -> lose energy over typical length scales (few kpc), probe the local DM distribution, less uncertainty

Satellite-based exp. -> HEAT, AMS-01, Pamela, AMS-02 (planned)...
WIMP's effective elastic scattering cross section in the Sun for a variety of annihilation modes. The effective elastic scattering cross section is defined as

 $\sigma eff = \sigma H, SD + \sigma H, SI + 0.07 \sigma He, SI$

The dashes, solid and dotted lines correspond to WIMPs of mass 100, 300 and 1000 GeV, respectively.



"To detect neutrinos from WIMP annihilations in the Sun over the background of atmospheric neutrinos, a rate in the range of 10-100 events per square-kilometer, per year is required"

Atmospheric neurinos in SK



expected number of neutrino events in each event category as a function of neutrino energy

Cerenkov ring categories

How can we distinguish interacting neutrino flavor?

» e-like

» μ-like

fuzzy rings (due to E-M showers)

solid rings



SuperK – WIMP-induced neutrino flux limit from Earth

Limit: WIMP-induced upward muons (EARTH)





cone half-angle which contains 90% of neutrino flux form WIMP annihilation in Earth

(*) S.Desai et al., Phys.Rev. D70 (2004) 083523

SuperK limit for neutrialino elastic cross section (spin dependent)

(*) S.Desai et al., Phys.Rev. D70 (2004) 083523; Erratum-ibid. D70 (2004) 109901



(*) Kamionkowski, Ullio, Vogel JHEP 0107 (2001) 044

- Limit 100 times lower than from direct search experiments
- DAMA annual modulation due to axial vector couplings ruled out by this result (Kamionkowski et al.)

P.Mijakowski

11.I.2010, Wrocław

"full approach" fit

» How to include systematic uncertainties in χ^2 calculation ? Add "pull terms"...



>> In case of "poissonian" χ^2 : (better to use when bins may ocassionally contain small # events) sys. error

$$\chi^{2} = 2\sum_{i=1}^{nbins} \left(\left(N_{i}^{atmv} + \beta \cdot N_{i}^{WIMP} \right) \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{Nsyserr} f_{j}^{i} \cdot \varepsilon_{j} \right) - N_{i}^{obs} + N_{i}^{obs} \ln \frac{N_{i}^{obs}}{\left(N_{i}^{atmv} + \beta \cdot N_{i}^{WIMP} \right) \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{Nsyserr} f_{j}^{i} \cdot \varepsilon_{j} \right)} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{Nsyserr} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{j}}{\sigma_{j}} \right)^{2}$$